

Goguen 命题逻辑系统公理化扩张的 $\Gamma-k$ 真度理论及性质*



高晓莉, 惠小静, 朱乃调

(延安大学 数学与计算机科学学院,陕西 延安 716000)

通讯作者: 高晓莉, E-mail: 951402445@qq.com

摘要: 首先对 n 值 Goguen 命题逻辑进行公理化扩张 $\Pi_{\sim,\Delta}$, 记为 $\Pi_{\sim,\Delta}$. 利用公式的诱导函数给出公式在 k (k 任取~或 Δ) 连接词下相对于局部有限理论 Γ 的 $\Gamma-k$ 真度的定义; 讨论了 $\Pi_{\sim,\Delta}$ 中 $\Gamma-k$ 真度的 MP 规则、HS 规则等相关性质; 最后, 在 $\Pi_{\sim,\Delta}$ 中定义了两公式间的 $\Gamma-k$ 相似度与 $\Gamma-k$ 伪距离, 得到了公式在 k 连接词下相对于局部有限理论 Γ 的 $\Gamma-k$ 相似度与 $\Gamma-k$ 伪距离所具有的一些良好性质.

关键词: Goguen 命题逻辑系统; $\Gamma-k$ 真度; $\Gamma-k$ 相似度; $\Gamma-k$ 伪距离

中图法分类号: TP301

中文引用格式: 高晓莉, 惠小静, 朱乃调. Goguen 命题逻辑系统公理化扩张的 $\Gamma-k$ 真度理论及性质. 软件学报, 2017, 28(7): 1629–1639. <http://www.jos.org.cn/1000-9825/5110.htm>

英文引用格式: Gao XL, Hui XJ, Zhu ND. Theory and property of $\Gamma-k$ truth degree on axiomatic extension of Goguen propositional logic system. Ruan Jian Xue Bao/Journal of Software, 2017, 28(7): 1629–1639 (in Chinese). <http://www.jos.org.cn/1000-9825/5110.htm>

Theory and Property of $\Gamma-k$ Truth Degree on Axiomatic Extension of Goguen Propositional Logic System

GAO Xiao-Li, HUI Xiao-Jing, ZHU Nai-Diao

(College of Mathematics and Computer Science, Yan'an University, Yan'an 716000, China)

Abstract: Axiomatic extensions of n -valued Goguen propositional logic system denoted as $\Pi_{\sim,\Delta}$, is first studied in this paper. Using induced function, the definition of $\Gamma-k$ truth degree of formula relative to local finite theory Γ under the k conjunction is given. The MP rule, HS rule, and some correlation properties are also discussed. Finally, the definition of $\Gamma-k$ similarity degree and $\Gamma-k$ pseudo-metric in $\Pi_{\sim,\Delta}$ between two formulas is presented, and some good properties about $\Gamma-k$ similarity degree and $\Gamma-k$ pseudo-metric relative to local finite theory Γ under the k conjunction are simultaneously obtained.

Key words: Goguen propositional logic system; $\Gamma-k$ truth degree; $\Gamma-k$ similarity degree; $\Gamma-k$ pseudo-metric

关于命题逻辑结论程度化的思想被 Pavelka 在 20 世纪 70 年代提出以来^[1], 大量学者投入到了这一研究领域, 并取得了丰富的成果^[2–18]. 其中, 文献[2–6]从逻辑概念程度化入手, 给出了命题逻辑系统中公式的真度理论. 文献[7–10]利用赋值集的随机化方法, 在命题逻辑系统中给出了公式的随机真度概念, 实现了计量逻辑学与概率逻辑学的融合. 文献[11,12]对 Lukasiewic 命题逻辑系统和 R_0L_{3n+1} 命题逻辑系统中公式相对于局部有限理论 Γ 的真度进行了研究, 提出了公式的相对 Γ -真度, 把一般真度作为相对真度的特例, 拓展了真度理论的应用范围. 文献[13,14]通过视赋值集为通常乘积拓扑空间, 利用其上的 Borel 概率测度在命题逻辑系统中引入了

* 基金项目: 国家自然科学基金(11471007); 陕西省自然科学基金(2014JM1020); 延安大学研究生创新基金资助项目(YCX 201612)

Foundation item: National Natural Science Foundation of China (11471007); Natural Science Foundation of Shaanxi Province of China (2014JM1020); Graduate Innovation Fund of Yan'an University (YCX201612)

收稿时间: 2016-01-27; 修改时间: 2016-04-27; 采用时间: 2016-05-13; jos 在线出版时间: 2016-10-11

CNKI 网络优先出版: 2016-10-12 16:26:59, <http://www.cnki.net/kcms/detail/11.2560.TP.20161012.1626.025.html>

Borel 概率真度的概念,从而使计量逻辑学中命题的真度概念成为所研究工作的一个特例.

然而,在目前广泛受到大家关注的命题逻辑系统中,Gödel 命题逻辑系统和 Goguen 命题逻辑系统中的否定过强而使相关研究受到了阻碍.文献[15,16]引入了基本连接词对合否定 \sim .文献[17]引入连接词 Δ ,并提出了基本逻辑系统 BL 的公理化扩张 BL_Δ 系统,同时与对合否定相结合建立了 SBL_\sim 系统,在该系统中, Δ 演绎定理和强完备性定理都成立,从而使得在 Gödel 命题逻辑系统和 Goguen 命题逻辑系统中的研究得以顺利展开.文献[18]便是在 SBL_\sim 系统中以推理中命题的真值为基础,运用 Δ 转换词建立了推理中前提与结论的真值关系定理,实现了 Δ 模糊逻辑系统的计量化.

本文以 Goguen 命题逻辑系统为例,拟在 SBL 公理化扩张中展开计量化研究.首先在 n 值 Goguen 命题逻辑系统中添加了两类算子,即对合否定和连接词 Δ ,将其作为 SBL_\sim 系统的公理化扩张,记为 $Goguen_{\sim,\Delta}$ 或 $\Pi_{\sim,\Delta}$.然后利用公式的诱导函数给出公式在 k (k 任取 \sim 或 Δ)连接词下相对于局部有限理论 Γ 的 $\Gamma-k$ 真度的定义;讨论了 $\Pi_{\sim,\Delta}$ 中 $\Gamma-k$ 真度的 MP 规则、HS 规则等相关性质;最后,在 $\Pi_{\sim,\Delta}$ 中定义了两公式间的 $\Gamma-k$ 相似度与 $\Gamma-k$ 伪距离,得到了公式在 k 连接词下相对于局部有限理论 Γ 的 $\Gamma-k$ 相似度与 $\Gamma-k$ 伪距离所具有的一些良好性质.

1 预备知识

定义 1.1^[18]. BL_Δ 的公理系统如下.

- (BL) BL 的公理系统;
- (A Δ1) $\Delta A \vee \neg \Delta A$;
- (A Δ2) $\Delta(A \vee B) \rightarrow (\Delta A \vee \Delta B)$;
- (A Δ3) $\Delta A \rightarrow A$;
- (A Δ4) $\Delta A \rightarrow \Delta \Delta A$;
- (A Δ5) $\Delta(A \rightarrow B) \rightarrow (\Delta A \rightarrow \Delta B)$.

BL_Δ 中的推理规则为 MP 规则和 Δ 规则,MP 规则为从 $A, A \rightarrow B$ 推得 B . Δ 规则为 $A \rightarrow \Delta A$.

如果 \mathfrak{L} 是 BL 的公理化扩张,那么把 \mathfrak{L}_Δ 记为 \mathfrak{L} 的扩张,其方式正如 BL 扩张为 BL_Δ 一样, BL_Δ 系统中下面的 Δ 演绎定理成立.

定理 1.1(Δ 演绎定理)^[18]. 令 \mathfrak{L} 是 BL_Δ 的公理化扩张,那么对任意理论 Γ ,公式 A 和 B ,有 $\Gamma, A \vdash B$ 当且仅当 $\Gamma \vdash \Delta A \rightarrow B$.

SBL 是 BL 在增加了公理 $\neg\neg A \vee \neg A$ 之后的公理化扩张. SBL_Δ 也为 SBL 的公理化扩张. SBL_\sim 系统是在 SBL 系统中增加了对合否定连接词 \sim 后形成的逻辑系统.

定义 1.2^[17]. 作为 SBL 的公理化扩张, SBL_\sim 的公理系统如下.

- (SBL) SBL 的公理系统;
- (~1) $\sim\sim A \rightarrow A$;
- (~2) $\neg A \rightarrow \sim A$;
- (~3) $\Delta(A \rightarrow B) \rightarrow \Delta(\sim B \rightarrow \sim A)$.

在 SBL_\sim 系统中,令 $\Delta A = \neg\sim A$,便可以建立 SBL_Δ 系统与 SBL_\sim 系统之间的关系.即 SBL_\sim 有如下的等价公理系统.

- (SBL_Δ) SBL_Δ 的公理系统;
- (~1) $\sim\sim A \rightarrow A$;
- (~3) $\Delta(A \rightarrow B) \rightarrow \Delta(\sim B \rightarrow \sim A)$.

SBL_\sim 中的推理规则也为 MP 规则和 Δ 规则.如果 \mathfrak{L} 是 SBL 的公理化扩张,那么把 \mathfrak{L}_\sim 记为 \mathfrak{L} 的扩张,其方式正如 SBL 扩张为 SBL_\sim 一样,而且 Gödel 和 Π_\sim 是 SBL_\sim 公理化扩张的两个基本类型.由于 SBL_\sim 也是 BL_Δ 的公理化扩张,因此 SBL_\sim 中的 Δ 演绎定理也成立.

定理 1.2(强完备性定理)^[17]. 令 \mathfrak{L} 是 SBL_\sim 的公理化扩张,那么对理论 Γ 和公式 A ,下面条件等价.

- (i) $\Gamma \vdash A$;
- (ii) 对每个 \mathfrak{L} 代数和理论 Γ 的每个模型 e , 均有 $e(A) = 1$.

2 Γ - k 真度的定义及性质

定义 2.1. 设 $S = \{p_1, p_2, \dots\}$ 是可数集, \sim, Δ 是 S 上的一元运算, $\vee, \wedge, \rightarrow$ 是 S 上的二元运算, $F(S)$ 是由 S 生成的 $(\sim, \Delta, \vee, \wedge, \rightarrow)$ 型自由代数, 称 $F(S)$ 中的元为命题或合式公式, 称 S 中的元为原子公式.

定义 2.2. Goguen 命题逻辑系统也称为乘积系统, 记 Π . 设 $\Pi_{\sim, \Delta} = \left\{0, \frac{1}{n-1}, \dots, \frac{n-2}{n-1}, 1\right\}$, 在 $\Pi_{\sim, \Delta}$ 中规定

$$\forall x, y \in \Pi_{\sim, \Delta}, \sim x = 1 - x, \Delta x = \begin{cases} 1, & x = 1 \\ 0, & x < 1 \end{cases}, x \vee y = \max\{x, y\}, x \wedge y = \min\{x, y\},$$

$$x \rightarrow y = \begin{cases} 1, & x = 0 \\ \frac{y}{x} \wedge 1, & x > 0 \end{cases} = \begin{cases} 1, & x = y \\ \frac{y}{x}, & x > y \end{cases},$$

称 $\text{Goguen}_{\sim, \Delta}$ 是 n 值乘积命题逻辑系统的扩张, 简记为 $\Pi_{\sim, \Delta}$.

注: $\Pi_{\sim, \Delta}$ 作为 n 值乘积系统的公理化扩张, 是在 n 值乘积系统的基础上增加了对合否定和连接词 Δ 两类算子, 由于乘积系统是 SBL 系统, 因此 $\Pi_{\sim, \Delta}$ 是 SBL $_{\sim}$ 的公理化扩张, 满足 SBL $_{\sim}$ 的公理系统及定理 1.1 和定理 1.2.

定义 2.3. 设 $A = A(p_1, p_2, \dots, p_m) \in F(S)$, 则 A 对应一个 n 值 m 元函数 \bar{A} . 在 $\Pi_{\sim, \Delta}^m$ 中, $\bar{A}: \Pi_{\sim, \Delta}^m \rightarrow [0, 1]$, 这里 $\bar{A}(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m)$ 是由运算符号 $\sim, \Delta, \vee, \wedge, \rightarrow$ 把 x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m 连接而成, 其方式恰如 $A = A(p_1, p_2, \dots, p_m) \in F(S)$ 由连接词 $\sim, \Delta, \vee, \wedge, \rightarrow$ 将原子公式 p_1, p_2, \dots, p_m 连接而成那样, 称 \bar{A} 是公式 A 所诱导的函数.

定义 2.4. 在 $\Pi_{\sim, \Delta}^m$ 中, 设 $\bar{A}(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m)$ 是 $F(S)$ 中命题公式 $A(p_1, p_2, \dots, p_m)$ 所诱导的函数. 定义: $l = 0, \forall (x_1, \dots, x_m, \dots, x_{m+l}) \in \Pi_{\sim, \Delta}^{m+l}, \bar{A}^l: \Pi_{\sim, \Delta}^{m+l} \rightarrow [0, 1], \bar{A}^l(x_1, \dots, x_m, \dots, x_{m+l}) = \bar{A}(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m)$, 称 \bar{A}^l 为函数 \bar{A} 的直到第 l 元的扩张.

接下来我们在 $\text{Goguen}_{\sim, \Delta}$ 命题逻辑系统中, 利用诱导函数给出公式在 k 连接词下相对于局部有限理论 Γ 的 Γ - k 真度的定义, 并讨论 Γ - k 真度的相关性质.

设 $\Gamma \subseteq F(S), A \in F(S)$, 本文规定 $S_\Gamma = \{p \in S \mid \exists B \in \Gamma, p$ 是构成 B 的原子命题 $\}, S_A = \{p \in S \mid p$ 在 A 中出现 $\}$, 当 S_Γ 有限时, 称 Γ 为 $\text{Goguen}_{\sim, \Delta}$ 命题逻辑系统的局部有限理论.

以下几点若在文中无特别说明, 则均不发生变化.

- (1) 在 $\Pi_{\sim, \Delta}^m$ 中讨论.
- (2) k, λ, μ, η 任取 Δ, \sim .
- (3) 真值函数的上划线不包括 kA 前的 k .
- (4) 基本语法、语义概念如定理、逻辑等价、重言式、矛盾式等均与经典命题逻辑一样.

定义 2.5. 在 $\Pi_{\sim, \Delta}^m$ 中, 设 $\Gamma \subseteq F(S), S_\Gamma$ 有限, $A \in F(S), S = S_\Gamma \cup S_A = \{p_1, p_2, \dots, p_m\}$, 则

$$\tau_{n, \Gamma}(kA) = \begin{cases} 1, & N(\Gamma) = \emptyset \\ \frac{1}{|N(\Gamma)|} \sum_{(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m) \in N(\Gamma)} k \bar{A}(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m), & N(\Gamma) \neq \emptyset \end{cases}$$

其中, $N(\Gamma) = \{(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m) \in \Pi_{\sim, \Delta}^m \mid \forall B \in \Gamma, \bar{B}(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m) = 1\}$, 称 $\tau_{n, \Gamma}(kA)$ 为公式 A 在 k 连接词下相对于局部有限理论 Γ 的 Γ - k 真度, 简称 Γ - k 真度.

定理 2.1. 设 $\Gamma \subseteq F(S), A \in F(S), S_\Gamma$ 有限, $S = S_\Gamma \cup S_A = \{p_1, p_2, \dots, p_m\}, S^* = \{p_1, p_2, \dots, p_m, p_{m+1}, \dots, p_{m+l}\} \subseteq S$, 则

$$\tau_{n, \Gamma}(kA) = \begin{cases} 1, & N^*(\Gamma) = \emptyset \\ \frac{1}{|N^*(\Gamma)|} \sum_{(x_1, \dots, x_m, x_{m+1}, \dots, x_{m+l}) \in N^*(\Gamma)} k \bar{A}(x_1, \dots, x_m, x_{m+1}, \dots, x_{m+l}), & N^*(\Gamma) \neq \emptyset \end{cases}$$

其中, $N^*(\Gamma) = \{(x_1, \dots, x_m, x_{m+1}, \dots, x_{m+l}) \in \Pi_{\sim, \Delta}^{m+l} \mid \forall B \in \Gamma, \overline{B}^l(x_1, \dots, x_m, x_{m+1}, \dots, x_{m+l}) = 1\}$.

证明: 因为 $N(\Gamma) = \{(x_1, \dots, x_m) \in \Pi_{\sim, \Delta}^m \mid \forall B \in \Gamma, \overline{B}(x_1, \dots, x_m) = 1\}$,

$N^*(\Gamma) = \{(x_1, \dots, x_m, x_{m+1}, \dots, x_{m+l}) \in \Pi_{\sim, \Delta}^{m+l} \mid \forall B \in \Gamma, \overline{B}^l(x_1, \dots, x_m, x_{m+1}, \dots, x_{m+l}) = 1\}$,

由定义 2.4 可知, $\forall (x_1, \dots, x_m, x_{m+1}, \dots, x_{m+l}) \in \Pi_{\sim, \Delta}^{m+l}, \overline{B}^l(x_1, \dots, x_m, x_{m+1}, \dots, x_{m+l}) = \overline{B}(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m)$,

有 $|N^*(\Gamma)| \times n^l$,

所以, 当 $N^*(\Gamma) = \emptyset$ 时, $N(\Gamma) = \emptyset$, 则 $\tau_{n, \Gamma}(kA) = 1$.

当 $N^*(\Gamma) \neq \emptyset$ 时, $N(\Gamma) \neq \emptyset$, 由于 $\overline{A}^l(x_1, \dots, x_m, \dots, x_{m+l}) = \overline{A}(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m)$, 得到

$$\sum_{(x_1, \dots, x_m, x_{m+1}, \dots, x_{m+l}) \in \Pi_{\sim, \Delta}^{m+l}} k \overline{A}(x_1, \dots, x_m, x_{m+1}, \dots, x_{m+l}) = \sum_{(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m) \in \Pi_{\sim, \Delta}^m \times n^l} k \overline{A}(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m) = \sum_{(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m) \in \Pi_{\sim, \Delta}^m} k \overline{A}(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m) \times n^l.$$

同时,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{|N^*(\Gamma)|} \sum_{(x_1, \dots, x_m, x_{m+1}, \dots, x_{m+l}) \in N^*(\Gamma)} k \overline{A}(x_1, \dots, x_m, x_{m+1}, \dots, x_{m+l}) &= \frac{1}{|N(\Gamma)| \times n^l} \sum_{(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m) \in N(\Gamma)} k \overline{A}(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m) \times n^l \\ &= \frac{1}{|N(\Gamma)|} \sum_{(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m) \in N(\Gamma)} k \overline{A}(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m). \end{aligned}$$

从而有 $\tau_{n, \Gamma}(kA) = \frac{1}{|N^*(\Gamma)|} \sum_{(x_1, \dots, x_m, x_{m+1}, \dots, x_{m+l}) \in N^*(\Gamma)} k \overline{A}(x_1, \dots, x_m, x_{m+1}, \dots, x_{m+l})$.

为方便表述, 将 $N^*(\Gamma), \sum_{(x_1, \dots, x_m, x_{m+1}, \dots, x_{m+l}) \in N^*(\Gamma)} k \overline{A}(x_1, \dots, x_m, x_{m+1}, \dots, x_{m+l})$ 仍记作 $N(\Gamma), \sum_{(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m) \in N(\Gamma)} k \overline{A}(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m)$.

定理 2.2. 设 $\Gamma \subseteq F(S), A \in F(S), S_\Gamma$ 有限,

(i) 若 $\Gamma \models A$, 则 $\tau_{n, \Gamma}(\Delta A) = 1, \tau_{n, \Gamma}(\sim A) = 0$;

(ii) 若 $\Gamma \models \sim A$, 则 $\tau_{n, \Gamma}(\Delta A) = 0, \tau_{n, \Gamma}(\sim A) = 1$.

证明: (i) 若 $\Gamma \models A$, 则 $\forall (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m) \in N(\Gamma)$, 有 $\overline{A}(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m) = 1$,

结合 Δ 连接词的运算性质可得, $\Delta \overline{A}(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m) = 1, |N(\Gamma)| = \sum_{(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m) \in N(\Gamma)} \Delta \overline{A}(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m)$;

结合 \sim 连接词的运算性质可得, $\sim \overline{A}(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m) = 0, \sum_{(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m) \in N(\Gamma)} \sim \overline{A}(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m) = 0$.

由定义 2.5 可得, $\tau_{n, \Gamma}(\Delta A) = 1, \tau_{n, \Gamma}(\sim A) = 0$.

(ii) 若 $\Gamma \models \sim A$, $\forall (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m) \in N(\Gamma), \sim \overline{A}(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m) = 1$,

结合 \sim 连接词的运算性质可得, $\overline{A}(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m) = 0, |N(\Gamma)| = \sum_{(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m) \in N(\Gamma)} \sim \overline{A}(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m)$,

结合 Δ 连接词的运算性质可得, $\Delta \overline{A}(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m) = 0, \sum_{(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m) \in N(\Gamma)} \Delta \overline{A}(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m) = 0$,

由定义 2.5 可得, $\tau_{n, \Gamma}(\Delta A) = 0, \tau_{n, \Gamma}(\sim A) = 1$.

定理 2.3. 设 $\Gamma \subseteq F(S), A \in F(S), S_\Gamma$ 有限, 若 $N(\Gamma) \neq \emptyset$, 则 $\tau_{n, \Gamma}(\sim kA) = 1 - \tau_{n, \Gamma}(kA)$.

证明: 因为 $N(\Gamma) = \{(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m) \in \Pi_{\sim, \Delta} \mid \forall B \in \Gamma, \overline{B}(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m) = 1\}$, 且 $N(\Gamma) \neq \emptyset$,

所以,

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_{n, \Gamma}(\sim kA) &= \frac{1}{|N(\Gamma)|} \sum_{(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m) \in N(\Gamma)} \sim k \overline{A}(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m) \\ &= \frac{1}{|N(\Gamma)|} \sum_{(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m) \in N(\Gamma)} (1 - k \overline{A}(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m)) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \frac{1}{|N(\Gamma)|} \sum_{(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m) \in N(\Gamma)} 1 - \frac{1}{|N(\Gamma)|} \sum_{(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m) \in N(\Gamma)} k \bar{A}(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m) \\
&= 1 - \frac{1}{|N(\Gamma)|} \sum_{(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m) \in N(\Gamma)} k \bar{A}(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m) \\
&= 1 - \tau_{n,\Gamma}(kA).
\end{aligned}$$

定理 2.4. 设 $\Gamma_1 \subseteq \Gamma_2 \subseteq F(S), A \in F(S), S_{\Gamma_2}$ 有限, 若 $\tau_{n,\Gamma_1}(kA) = 1$, 则 $\tau_{n,\Gamma_2}(kA) = 1$.

证明: 由于 $\Gamma_1 \subseteq \Gamma_2$, 则 $N(\Gamma_2) \subseteq N(\Gamma_1)$, 当 $N(\Gamma_2) = \emptyset$ 时, $\tau_{n,\Gamma_2}(kA) = 1$,

当 $N(\Gamma_2) \neq \emptyset$ 时, 可知 $N(\Gamma_1) \neq \emptyset$, 因为 $\tau_{n,\Gamma_1}(kA) = 1$, 所以 $\frac{1}{|N(\Gamma_1)|} \sum_{(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m) \in N(\Gamma_1)} k \bar{A}(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m) = 1$,

从而有 $|N(\Gamma_1)| = \sum_{(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m) \in N(\Gamma_1)} k \bar{A}(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m)$.

即 $\forall (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m) \in N(\Gamma_1)$, 有 $k \bar{A}(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m) = 1$; $\forall (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m) \in N(\Gamma_2)$, 有 $k \bar{A}(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m) = 1$.

因此, $|N(\Gamma_2)| = \sum_{(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m) \in N(\Gamma_2)} k \bar{A}(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m)$, 即 $\tau_{n,\Gamma_2}(kA) = 1$.

引理 2.1. 设 $\forall a, b \in \Pi_{\sim, \Delta}^m$, 则 (1) $1 \rightarrow \mu b = \mu b$; (2) $\lambda a \rightarrow \mu b = \mu b$.

证明:

(1) 当 $\mu b = 1$ 时, $1 \rightarrow \mu b = 1 \rightarrow 1 = 1 = \mu b$;

当 $\mu b < 1$ 时, $1 \rightarrow \mu b = \frac{\mu b}{1} = \mu b$.

(2) 当 $\lambda a \rightarrow \mu b$ 时, $\lambda a \rightarrow \mu b = \frac{\mu b}{\lambda a} = \mu b$;

当 $\lambda a < \mu b$ 时, $\lambda a \rightarrow \mu b = 1 - \mu b$.

定理 2.5. 设 $\Gamma \subseteq F(S), A, B \in F(S), S_{\Gamma}$ 有限, 若 $\Gamma \vdash \lambda A$, 则

(i) $\tau_{n,\Gamma}(\lambda A \rightarrow \mu B) = \tau_{n,\Gamma}(\lambda A \wedge \mu B) = \tau_{n,\Gamma}(\mu B)$;

(ii) $\tau_{n,\Gamma}(\mu B \rightarrow \lambda A) = 1$.

证明: 设 A, B 含有相同的原子公式 p_1, p_2, \dots, p_m , 若 $\Gamma \vdash \lambda A$, $\forall (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m) \in N(\Gamma)$, 有 $\lambda \bar{A}(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m) = 1$.

(i) 由引理 2.1(1) 可知,

$$\begin{aligned}
(\lambda \bar{A} \rightarrow \mu \bar{B})(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m) &= \lambda \bar{A}(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m) \rightarrow \mu \bar{B}(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m) = 1 \rightarrow \mu \bar{B}(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m) = \mu \bar{B}(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m), \\
(\lambda \bar{A} \wedge \mu \bar{B})(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m) &= \lambda \bar{A}(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m) \wedge \mu \bar{B}(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m) = 1 \wedge \mu \bar{B}(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m) = \mu \bar{B}(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m),
\end{aligned}$$

所以,

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m) \in N(\Gamma)} (\lambda \bar{A} \rightarrow \mu \bar{B})(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m) &= \sum_{(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m) \in N(\Gamma)} (\lambda \bar{A} \wedge \mu \bar{B})(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m) \\
&= \sum_{(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m) \in N(\Gamma)} \mu \bar{B}(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m),
\end{aligned}$$

则有

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{|N(\Gamma)|} \sum_{(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m) \in N(\Gamma)} (\lambda \bar{A} \rightarrow \mu \bar{B})(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m) &= \frac{1}{|N(\Gamma)|} \sum_{(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m) \in N(\Gamma)} (\lambda \bar{A} \wedge \mu \bar{B})(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m) \\
&= \frac{1}{|N(\Gamma)|} \sum_{(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m) \in N(\Gamma)} \mu \bar{B}(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m).
\end{aligned}$$

由定义 2.5 可得, $\tau_{n,\Gamma}(\lambda A \rightarrow \mu B) = \tau_{n,\Gamma}(\lambda A \wedge \mu B) = \tau_{n,\Gamma}(\mu B)$.

(ii) 由引理 2.1(2) 可知,

$$(\mu \bar{B} \rightarrow \lambda \bar{A})(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m) = \mu \bar{B}(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m) \rightarrow \lambda \bar{A}(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m) = \lambda \bar{A}(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m) = 1.$$

类似于定理 2.5(i), 得到 $\frac{1}{|N(\Gamma)|} \sum_{(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m) \in N(\Gamma)} (\mu \bar{B} \rightarrow \lambda \bar{A})(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m) = 1$.

再由定义 2.5 可知, $\tau_{n,\Gamma}(\mu B \rightarrow \lambda A) = 1$.

引理 2.2. 设 $\forall a, b \in \Pi_{\sim, \Delta}^m$, 则 $\lambda a \vee \mu b = \lambda a + \mu b - (\lambda a \wedge \mu b)$.

证明: 首先令 $*_1 = (\lambda a \vee \mu b) - \lambda a - \mu b + (\lambda a \wedge \mu b)$, 再分两种情况进行讨论.

1) 当 $\lambda a = \mu b$ 时, $*_1 = \lambda a - \lambda a - \mu b + \mu b = 0$;

2) 当 $\lambda a < \mu b$ 时, $*_1 = \mu b - \lambda a - \mu b + \lambda a = 0$.

定理 2.6. 设 $\Gamma \subseteq F(S), A, B \in F(S), S_\Gamma$ 有限, 则 $\tau_{n,\Gamma}(\lambda A \vee \mu B) = \tau_{n,\Gamma}(\lambda A) + \tau_{n,\Gamma}(\mu B) - \tau_{n,\Gamma}(\lambda A \wedge \mu B)$.

证明: 设 A, B 含有相同的原子公式 $p_1, p_2, \dots, p_m, \forall (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m) \in N(\Gamma)$, 由引理 2.2 可知,

$$\lambda \bar{A}(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m) \vee \mu \bar{B}(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m) = \lambda \bar{A}(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m) + \mu \bar{B}(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m) - (\lambda \bar{A}(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m) \wedge \mu \bar{B}(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m)),$$

其中,

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda \bar{A}(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m) \vee \mu \bar{B}(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m) &= (\lambda \bar{A} \vee \mu \bar{B})(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m), \\ \lambda \bar{A}(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m) \wedge \mu \bar{B}(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m) &= (\lambda \bar{A} \wedge \mu \bar{B})(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m), \end{aligned}$$

那么,

$$(\lambda \bar{A} \vee \mu \bar{B})(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m) = \lambda \bar{A}(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m) + \mu \bar{B}(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m) - (\lambda \bar{A} \wedge \mu \bar{B})(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m),$$

因此,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m) \in N(\Gamma)} (\lambda \bar{A} \vee \mu \bar{B})(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m) &= \sum_{(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m) \in N(\Gamma)} \lambda \bar{A}(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m) + \\ &\quad \sum_{(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m) \in N(\Gamma)} \mu \bar{B}(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m) - \\ &\quad \sum_{(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m) \in N(\Gamma)} (\lambda \bar{A} \wedge \mu \bar{B})(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m). \end{aligned}$$

同时,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{|N(\Gamma)|} \sum_{(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m) \in N(\Gamma)} (\lambda \bar{A} \vee \mu \bar{B})(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m) &= \frac{1}{|N(\Gamma)|} \sum_{(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m) \in N(\Gamma)} \lambda \bar{A}(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m) + \\ &\quad \frac{1}{|N(\Gamma)|} \sum_{(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m) \in N(\Gamma)} \mu \bar{B}(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m) - \\ &\quad \frac{1}{|N(\Gamma)|} \sum_{(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m) \in N(\Gamma)} (\lambda \bar{A} \wedge \mu \bar{B})(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m). \end{aligned}$$

由定义 2.5 可得, $\tau_{n,\Gamma}(\lambda A \vee \mu B) = \tau_{n,\Gamma}(\lambda A) + \tau_{n,\Gamma}(\mu B) - \tau_{n,\Gamma}(\lambda A \wedge \mu B)$.

引理 2.3. 设 $\forall a, b \in \Pi_{\sim, \Delta}^m$, 则 $\mu b - \lambda a + (\lambda a \rightarrow \mu b) = 1$.

证明: 首先令 $*_2 = \mu b - \lambda a - (\lambda a \rightarrow \mu b) + 1$, 再分两种情况进行讨论.

1) 当 $\lambda a = \mu b$ 时, $*_2 = \mu b - \lambda a = 0$;

2) 当 $\lambda a > \mu b$ 时, $*_2 = \mu b - \lambda a - \frac{\mu b}{\lambda a} + 1 = \frac{\mu b(\lambda a - 1)}{\lambda a} - \frac{\lambda a(\lambda a - 1)}{\lambda a} = \frac{(\mu b - \lambda a)(\lambda a - 1)}{\lambda a} = 0$.

综上, 可得 $\mu b - \lambda a + (\lambda a \rightarrow \mu b) = 1$.

定理 2.7($\Gamma-k$ 真度的 MP 规则). 设 $\Gamma \subseteq F(S), A, B \in F(S), S_\Gamma$ 有限, 若 $\tau_{n,\Gamma}(\lambda A) = \alpha, \tau_{n,\Gamma}(\lambda A \rightarrow \mu B) = \beta$, 则 $\tau_{n,\Gamma}(\mu B) = \alpha + \beta - 1$.

证明: 设 A, B 含有相同的原子公式 $p_1, p_2, \dots, p_m, \forall (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m) \in N(\Gamma)$, 由引理 2.3 可知,

$$\mu \bar{B}(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m) - \lambda \bar{A}(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m) + (\lambda \bar{A} \rightarrow \mu \bar{B})(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m) \rightarrow \mu \bar{B}(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m) - 1,$$

因此,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m) \in N(\Gamma)} \mu \bar{B}(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m) - \sum_{(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m) \in N(\Gamma)} \lambda \bar{A}(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m) + \\ \sum_{(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m) \in N(\Gamma)} (\lambda \bar{A} \rightarrow \mu \bar{B})(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m) - \\ \sum_{(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m) \in N(\Gamma)} 1, \end{aligned}$$

所以,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{|N(\Gamma)|} \sum_{(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m) \in N(\Gamma)} \mu \bar{B}(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m) &= \frac{1}{|N(\Gamma)|} \sum_{(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m) \in N(\Gamma)} \lambda \bar{A}(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m) + \\ &\quad \frac{1}{|N(\Gamma)|} \sum_{(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m) \in N(\Gamma)} (\lambda \bar{A} \rightarrow \mu \bar{B})(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m) - \\ &\quad \frac{1}{|N(\Gamma)|} \sum_{(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m) \in N(\Gamma)} 1. \end{aligned}$$

结合定义 2.5 可得, $\tau_{n,\Gamma}(\mu B) = \alpha + \beta - 1$.

推论 2.1. 设 $\Gamma \subseteq F(S), A, B \in F(S), S_\Gamma$ 有限, 若 $\tau_{n,\Gamma}(\lambda A) = 1, \tau_{n,\Gamma}(\lambda A \rightarrow \mu B) = 1$, 则 $\tau_{n,\Gamma}(\mu B) = 1$.

引理 2.4. 设 $\forall a, b, c \in \Pi_{\sim, \Delta}^m$, 则 $(\lambda a \rightarrow \eta c) = (\lambda a \rightarrow \mu b) + (\mu b \rightarrow \eta c) - 1$.

证明: 首先令 $*_3 = (\lambda a \rightarrow \eta c) - (\lambda a \rightarrow \mu b) - (\mu b \rightarrow \eta c) + 1$, 再分以下几种情况进行讨论.

1) 当 $\lambda a = \eta c$ 时

$$1.1) \text{ 当 } \mu b = \eta c \text{ 时}, *_3 = 1 - (\lambda a \rightarrow \mu b) - (\mu b \rightarrow \eta c) + 1 = 1 - (\lambda a \rightarrow \mu b) + 1 - \frac{\eta c}{\mu b} = 0.$$

1.2) 当 $\mu b < \eta c$ 时

$$1.2.1) \text{ 当 } \lambda a = \mu b \text{ 时}, *_3 = 1 - \frac{\mu b}{\lambda a} = 0;$$

1.2.2) 当 $\lambda a < \mu b$ 时, $*_3 = 0$.

2) 当 $\lambda a > \eta c$ 时

$$2.1) \text{ 当 } \mu b < \eta c \text{ 时}, *_3 = \frac{\eta c}{\lambda a} - \frac{\mu b}{\lambda a} - 1 + 1 = \frac{\eta c - \mu b}{\lambda a} = 0.$$

2.2) 当 $\mu b = \eta c$ 时

$$2.2.1) \text{ 当 } \lambda a > \mu b \text{ 时}, *_3 = \frac{\eta c}{\lambda a} - \frac{\mu b}{\lambda a} - \frac{\eta c}{\mu b} + 1 = \frac{\eta c - \mu b}{\lambda a} - \frac{\eta c - \mu b}{\mu b} = (\eta c - \mu b) \left(\frac{1}{\lambda a} - \frac{1}{\mu b} \right) = 0;$$

$$2.2.2) \text{ 当 } \lambda a > \mu b \text{ 时}, *_3 = \frac{\eta c}{\lambda a} - 1 - \frac{\eta c}{\mu b} + 1 = \frac{\eta c}{\lambda a} - \frac{\eta c}{\mu b} = 0.$$

综上可得 $(\lambda a \rightarrow \eta c) = (\lambda a \rightarrow \mu b) + (\mu b \rightarrow \eta c) - 1$.

定理 2.8($\Gamma-k$ 真度的 HS 规则). 设 $\Gamma \subseteq F(S), A, B, C \in F(S), S_\Gamma$ 有限, 若 $\tau_{n,\Gamma}(\lambda A \rightarrow \mu B) = \alpha, \tau_{n,\Gamma}(\mu B \rightarrow \eta C) = \beta$, 则 $\tau_{n,\Gamma}(\lambda A \rightarrow \eta C) = \alpha + \beta - 1$.

证明: 设 A, B, C 含有相同的原子公式 $p_1, p_2, \dots, p_m, \forall (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m) \in N(\Gamma)$, 由引理 2.4 可知,

$$(\lambda \bar{A} \rightarrow \eta \bar{C})(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m) = (\lambda \bar{A} \rightarrow \mu \bar{B})(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m) + (\mu \bar{B} \rightarrow \eta \bar{C})(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m) - 1,$$

因此,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m) \in N(\Gamma)} (\lambda \bar{A} \rightarrow \eta \bar{C})(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m) &= \sum_{(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m) \in N(\Gamma)} (\lambda \bar{A} \rightarrow \mu \bar{B})(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m) + \\ &\quad \sum_{(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m) \in N(\Gamma)} (\mu \bar{B} \rightarrow \eta \bar{C})(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m) - \\ &\quad \sum_{(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m) \in N(\Gamma)} 1. \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{所以, } \frac{1}{|N(\Gamma)|} \sum_{(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m) \in N(\Gamma)} (\lambda \bar{A} \rightarrow \eta \bar{C})(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m) &= \frac{1}{|N(\Gamma)|} \sum_{(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m) \in N(\Gamma)} (\lambda \bar{A} \rightarrow \mu \bar{B})(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m) + \\ &\quad \frac{1}{|N(\Gamma)|} \sum_{(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m) \in N(\Gamma)} (\mu \bar{B} \rightarrow \eta \bar{C})(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m) - \\ &\quad \frac{1}{|N(\Gamma)|} \sum_{(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m) \in N(\Gamma)} 1. \end{aligned}$$

结合定义 2.5 可得, $\tau_{n,\Gamma}(\lambda A \rightarrow \eta C) = \alpha + \beta - 1$.

推论 2.2. 设 $\Gamma \subseteq F(S), A, B, C \in F(S), S_\Gamma$ 有限, 若 $\tau_{n,\Gamma}(\lambda A \rightarrow \mu B) = 1, \tau_{n,\Gamma}(\mu B \rightarrow \eta C) = 1$, 则 $\tau_{n,\Gamma}(\lambda A \rightarrow \eta C) = 1$.

下面将随机举出其中一个定理的例子来加以计算.

例 2.1: 在 $\Pi_{\sim, \Delta}$ 二元四值中, 设 $\Gamma = (\sim p_1 \rightarrow \Delta p_2) \rightarrow p_2, A = (\sim p_1 \vee \Delta p_2) \rightarrow p_2, B = (\sim p_1 \rightarrow \sim p_2) \rightarrow p_1, C = (\Delta p_1 \rightarrow \sim p_2) \rightarrow \sim p_1$, 试计算 $\tau_{4,\Gamma}((\Delta A \wedge \sim B) \rightarrow \sim C) = \tau_{4,\Gamma}((\Delta A \rightarrow \sim C) \vee (\sim B \rightarrow \sim C))$.

解: 根据定义 2.5 来计算 $S_\Gamma = \{p_1, p_2\}, S_A = \{p_1, p_2\}, S_\Gamma \cup S_A = \{p_1, p_2\}$, 公式 A, B, C 所诱导的函数分别为

$$\bar{A}(x, y) : \Pi_{\sim, \Delta}^2 \rightarrow [0, 1], \quad \bar{A}(x, y) = (\sim x \vee \Delta y) \rightarrow y,$$

$$\bar{B}(x, y) : \Pi_{\sim, \Delta}^2 \rightarrow [0, 1], \quad \bar{B}(x, y) = (\sim x \rightarrow \sim y) \rightarrow x,$$

$$\bar{C}(x, y) : \Pi_{\sim, \Delta}^2 \rightarrow [0, 1], \quad \bar{C}(x, y) = (\Delta x \rightarrow \sim y) \rightarrow \sim x.$$

$\Gamma = (\sim p_1 \rightarrow \Delta p_2) \rightarrow p_2$ 可以写成诱导函数的形式为 $\Gamma = (\sim x \rightarrow \Delta y) \rightarrow y$.

为了方便理解, 特做出如下图表.

x	y	$\Gamma = (\sim x \rightarrow \Delta y) \rightarrow y$	$\bar{A}(x, y) = (\sim x \vee \Delta y) \rightarrow y$	$\bar{B}(x, y) = (\sim x \rightarrow \sim y) \rightarrow x$	$\bar{C}(x, y) = (\Delta x \rightarrow \sim y) \rightarrow \sim x$	$(\Delta A \wedge \sim B) \rightarrow \sim C$	$(\Delta A \rightarrow \sim C) \vee (\sim B \rightarrow \sim C)$
0	0	1	0	0	1	1	1
0	$\frac{1}{3}$	1	$\frac{1}{3}$	0	1	1	1
0	$\frac{2}{3}$	1	$\frac{2}{3}$	0	1	1	1
0	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
$\frac{1}{3}$	0	1	0	$\frac{1}{3}$	$\frac{2}{3}$	1	1
$\frac{1}{3}$	$\frac{1}{3}$	1	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{3}$	1	1	1
$\frac{1}{3}$	$\frac{2}{3}$	1	1	$\frac{2}{3}$	$\frac{2}{3}$	1	1
$\frac{1}{3}$	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
$\frac{2}{3}$	0	1	0	$\frac{2}{3}$	$\frac{1}{3}$	1	1
$\frac{2}{3}$	$\frac{1}{3}$	1	1	$\frac{2}{3}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	1	1
$\frac{2}{3}$	$\frac{2}{3}$	1	1	$\frac{2}{3}$	$\frac{2}{3}$	1	1
$\frac{2}{3}$	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
1	0	0	1	1	0	1	1
1	$\frac{1}{3}$	$\frac{1}{3}$	1	1	0	1	1
1	$\frac{2}{3}$	$\frac{2}{3}$	1	1	0	1	1
1	1	1	1	1	0	1	1

从表中可以看出, $|N(\Gamma)|$ 为所有使 $\Gamma = (\sim x \rightarrow \Delta y) \rightarrow y$ 的值为 1 元素的个数, 即 $|N(\Gamma)| = 13$.

$$\tau_{4,\Gamma}((\Delta A \wedge \sim B) \rightarrow \sim C) = \frac{1}{13} \sum_{(x,y) \in N(\Gamma)} 13 \times 1,$$

$$\tau_{4,\Gamma}((\Delta A \rightarrow \sim C) \vee (\sim B \rightarrow \sim C)) = \frac{1}{13} \sum_{(x,y) \in N(\Gamma)} 13 \times 1,$$

因此, $\tau_{4,\Gamma}((\Delta A \wedge \sim B) \rightarrow \sim C) = \tau_{4,\Gamma}((\Delta A \rightarrow \sim C) \vee (\sim B \rightarrow \sim C))$.

3 $\Gamma-k$ 相似度、 $\Gamma-k$ 伪距离的定义及性质

定义 3.1. 设 $\Gamma \subseteq F(S), A \in F(S), S_\Gamma$ 有限, 则有

$$\xi_{n,\Gamma}(\lambda A, \mu B) = \tau_{n,\Gamma}((\lambda A \rightarrow \mu B) \wedge (\mu B \rightarrow \lambda A)),$$

称 $\xi_{n,\Gamma}(\lambda A, \mu B)$ 为公式 A, B 在 λ, μ 连接词下相对于局部有限理论 Γ 的 $\Gamma-k$ 相似度, 简称 $\Gamma-k$ 相似度.

定理 3.1. 设 $\Gamma \subseteq F(S), A \in F(S), S_\Gamma$ 有限, 则 $\xi_{n,\Gamma}(\lambda A, \mu B) = \tau_{n,\Gamma}(\lambda A \rightarrow \mu B) + \tau_{n,\Gamma}(\mu B \rightarrow \lambda A) - 1$.

证明: 设 A, B 含有相同的原子公式 p_1, p_2, \dots, p_m , 由定理 2.6 和定义 3.1 可知,

$$\begin{aligned}\xi_{n,\Gamma}(\lambda A, \mu B) &= \tau_{n,\Gamma}((\lambda A \rightarrow \mu B) \wedge (\mu B \rightarrow \lambda A)) \\ &= \tau_{n,\Gamma}(\lambda A \rightarrow \mu B) + \tau_{n,\Gamma}(\mu B \rightarrow \lambda A) - \tau_{n,\Gamma}((\lambda A \rightarrow \mu B) \vee (\mu B \rightarrow \lambda A)) \\ &= \tau_{n,\Gamma}(\lambda A \rightarrow \mu B) + \tau_{n,\Gamma}(\mu B \rightarrow \lambda A) - 1.\end{aligned}$$

定理 3.2. 设 $\Gamma \subseteq F(S), A \in F(S), S_\Gamma$ 有限, 则

- (i) $\xi_{n,\Gamma}(\lambda A, \mu B) = \xi_{n,\Gamma}(\mu B, \lambda A);$
- (ii) $\xi_{n,\Gamma}(\lambda A \vee \mu B, \lambda A) = \tau_{n,\Gamma}(\mu B \rightarrow \lambda A);$
- (iii) $\xi_{n,\Gamma}(\lambda A \wedge \mu B, \lambda A) = \tau_{n,\Gamma}(\lambda A \rightarrow \mu B).$

证明: 设 A, B 含有相同的原子公式 $p_1, p_2, \dots, p_m, \forall (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m) \in N(\Gamma)$,

(i) $\forall a, b \in \Pi_{\sim, \Delta}^m$, 显然有 $(\lambda a \rightarrow \mu b) \wedge (\mu b \rightarrow \lambda a) = (\mu b \rightarrow \lambda a) \wedge (\lambda a \rightarrow \mu b)$.

所以有, $(\lambda A \rightarrow \mu B) \wedge (\mu B \rightarrow \lambda A) = (\mu B \rightarrow \lambda A) \wedge (\lambda A \rightarrow \mu B)$.

从而有, $((\lambda \bar{A} \rightarrow \mu \bar{B}) \wedge (\mu \bar{B} \rightarrow \lambda \bar{A}))(\bar{x}_1, \bar{x}_2, \dots, \bar{x}_m) = ((\mu \bar{B} \rightarrow \lambda \bar{A}) \wedge (\lambda \bar{A} \rightarrow \mu \bar{B}))(\bar{x}_1, \bar{x}_2, \dots, \bar{x}_m)$,

则

$$\sum_{(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m) \in N(\Gamma)} ((\lambda \bar{A} \rightarrow \mu \bar{B}) \wedge (\mu \bar{B} \rightarrow \lambda \bar{A}))(\bar{x}_1, \bar{x}_2, \dots, \bar{x}_m) = \sum_{(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m) \in N(\Gamma)} ((\mu \bar{B} \rightarrow \lambda \bar{A}) \wedge (\lambda \bar{A} \rightarrow \mu \bar{B}))(\bar{x}_1, \bar{x}_2, \dots, \bar{x}_m).$$

同时,

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{1}{|N(\Gamma)|} \sum_{(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m) \in N(\Gamma)} ((\lambda \bar{A} \rightarrow \mu \bar{B}) \wedge (\mu \bar{B} \rightarrow \lambda \bar{A}))(\bar{x}_1, \bar{x}_2, \dots, \bar{x}_m) \\ &= \frac{1}{|N(\Gamma)|} \sum_{(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m) \in N(\Gamma)} ((\mu \bar{B} \rightarrow \lambda \bar{A}) \wedge (\lambda \bar{A} \rightarrow \mu \bar{B}))(\bar{x}_1, \bar{x}_2, \dots, \bar{x}_m).\end{aligned}$$

由定义 2.5 可得, $\tau((\lambda A \rightarrow \mu B) \wedge (\mu B \rightarrow \lambda A)) = \tau((\mu B \rightarrow \lambda A) \wedge (\lambda A \rightarrow \mu B))$.

因此 $\xi_{n,\Gamma}(\lambda A, \mu B) = \xi_{n,\Gamma}(\mu B, \lambda A)$.

- (ii) $\xi_{n,\Gamma}(\lambda A \vee \mu B, \lambda A) = \tau_{n,\Gamma}(((\lambda A \vee \mu B) \rightarrow \lambda A) \wedge (\lambda A \rightarrow (\lambda A \vee \mu B)))$

$$\begin{aligned}&= \tau_{n,\Gamma}(((\lambda A \rightarrow \lambda A) \wedge (\mu B \rightarrow \lambda A)) \wedge ((\lambda A \rightarrow \lambda A) \vee (\lambda A \rightarrow \mu B))) \\ &= \tau_{n,\Gamma}((\mu B \rightarrow \lambda A) \wedge (\lambda A \rightarrow \lambda A)) \\ &= \tau_{n,\Gamma}(\mu B \rightarrow \lambda A).\end{aligned}$$
- (iii) $\xi_{n,\Gamma}(\lambda A \wedge \mu B, \lambda A) = \tau_{n,\Gamma}(((\lambda A \wedge \mu B) \rightarrow \lambda A) \wedge (\lambda A \rightarrow (\lambda A \wedge \mu B)))$

$$\begin{aligned}&= \tau_{n,\Gamma}(((\lambda A \rightarrow \lambda A) \vee (\mu B \rightarrow \lambda A)) \wedge ((\lambda A \rightarrow \lambda A) \wedge (\lambda A \rightarrow \mu B))) \\ &= \tau_{n,\Gamma}((\lambda A \rightarrow \lambda A) \wedge (\lambda A \rightarrow \mu B)) \\ &= \tau_{n,\Gamma}(\lambda A \rightarrow \mu B).\end{aligned}$$

定理 3.3. 设 $\Gamma \subseteq F(S), A, B, C \in F(S), S_\Gamma$ 有限, 则 $\xi_{n,\Gamma}(\lambda A, \eta C) = \xi_{n,\Gamma}(\lambda A, \mu B) + \xi_{n,\Gamma}(\mu B, \eta C) - 1$.

证明: 设 A, B, C 含有相同的原子公式 p_1, p_2, \dots, p_m , 由定理 2.8 和定理 3.1 可得

$$\begin{aligned}\xi_{n,\Gamma}(\lambda A, \mu B) + \xi_{n,\Gamma}(\mu B, \eta C) - 1 &= (\tau_{n,\Gamma}(\lambda A \rightarrow \mu B) + \tau_{n,\Gamma}(\mu B \rightarrow \lambda A) - 1) + (\tau_{n,\Gamma}(\eta C \rightarrow \mu B) + \tau_{n,\Gamma}(\mu B \rightarrow \eta C) - 1) - 1 \\ &= \tau_{n,\Gamma}(\lambda A \rightarrow \eta C) + \tau_{n,\Gamma}(\eta C \rightarrow \lambda A) - 1 \\ &= \xi_{n,\Gamma}(\lambda A, \eta C).\end{aligned}$$

定义 3.2. 设 $\Gamma \subseteq F(S), A, B \in F(S), S_\Gamma$ 有限, 规定 $\rho_{n,\Gamma} : F(S) \times F(S) \rightarrow [0, 1]$, 则

$$\rho_{n,\Gamma}(\lambda A, \mu B) = 1 - \xi_{n,\Gamma}(\lambda A, \mu B),$$

称 $\rho_{n,\Gamma}(\lambda A, \mu B)$ 为公式 A, B 在 λ, μ 连接词下相对于局部有限理论 Γ 的 $\Gamma-k$ 伪距离, 简称 $\Gamma-k$ 伪距离, $(F(S), \rho_{n,\Gamma})$ 称为 $\Gamma-k$ 逻辑度量空间.

定理 3.4. 设 $\Gamma \subseteq F(S), A, B, C \in F(S), S_\Gamma$ 有限, 则

$$\rho_{n,\Gamma}(\lambda A, \mu B) = 1 - \tau_{n,\Gamma}(\lambda A \rightarrow \mu B) + 1 - \tau_{n,\Gamma}(\mu B \rightarrow \lambda A).$$

证明: 由定理 3.1 可知, $\xi_{n,\Gamma}(\lambda A, \mu B) = \tau_{n,\Gamma}(\lambda A \rightarrow \mu B) + \tau_{n,\Gamma}(\mu B \rightarrow \lambda A) - 1$,

则有

$$1 - \xi_{n,\Gamma}(\lambda A, \mu B) = 1 - (\tau_{n,\Gamma}(\lambda A \rightarrow \mu B) + \tau_{n,\Gamma}(\mu B \rightarrow \lambda A) - 1),$$

可得

$$\rho_{n,\Gamma}(\lambda A, \mu B) = 1 - \tau_{n,\Gamma}(\lambda A \rightarrow \mu B) + 1 - \tau_{n,\Gamma}(\mu B \rightarrow \lambda A).$$

定理 3.5. 设 $\Gamma \subseteq F(S), A, B \in F(S), S_\Gamma$ 有限, 以下各结论成立.

- (i) $\rho_{n,\Gamma}(\lambda A, \mu B) = \rho_{n,\Gamma}(\mu B, \lambda A);$
- (ii) $\rho_{n,\Gamma}(\lambda A \vee \mu B, \lambda A) = 1 - \tau_{n,\Gamma}(\mu B \rightarrow \lambda A);$
- (iii) $\rho_{n,\Gamma}(\lambda A \wedge \mu B, \lambda A) = 1 - \tau_{n,\Gamma}(\lambda A \rightarrow \mu B).$

证明: 在此只证明(i), 其他同理可证, 设 A, B 含有相同的原子公式 p_1, p_2, \dots, p_m , 由定理 3.2(i) 可知, 因为, $\xi_{n,\Gamma}(\lambda A, \mu B) = \xi_{n,\Gamma}(\mu B, \lambda A)$, 所以, $\rho_{n,\Gamma}(\lambda A, \mu B) = 1 - \xi_{n,\Gamma}(\lambda A, \mu B) = 1 - \xi_{n,\Gamma}(\mu B, \lambda A) = \rho_{n,\Gamma}(\mu B, \lambda A)$.

4 总 结

本文对 n 值 Goguen 命题逻辑进行了公理化扩张 Goguen_{~Δ} ($\Pi_{\sim\Delta}$), 并利用公式的诱导函数给出公式在 k (k 任取~或 Δ) 连接词下相对于局部有限理论 Γ 的 $\Gamma-k$ 真度的定义; 讨论了 $\Pi_{\sim\Delta}$ 中 $\Gamma-k$ 真度的 MP 规则、HS 规则等相关性质; 定义了 $\Pi_{\sim\Delta}$ 中两公式间的 $\Gamma-k$ 相似度与 $\Gamma-k$ 伪距离, 得到了公式在 k 连接词下相对于局部有限理论 Γ 的 $\Gamma-k$ 相似度与 $\Gamma-k$ 伪距离所具有的一些良好性质. 关于 $\Gamma-k$ 真度、 $\Gamma-k$ 相似度与 $\Gamma-k$ 伪距离所具有的更多良好性质, 以及关于 $\Gamma-k$ 真度的近似推理理论等, 我们将在另文中加以讨论.

References:

- [1] Pavelka J. On fuzzy logic I: Many-Valued rules of inference; II: Enriched lattice and semantics of propositional calculi; III: Semantical completeness of some many-valued propositional calculi. Zeitschrif für Math. Logik und Grundlagen der Math., 1979, 25:45–52; 119–134; 447–464. [doi: 10.1002/malq.19790250304] [doi: 10.1002/malq.19790250706] [doi: 10.1002/malq.19790252510]
- [2] Wang GJ. Quantitative logic (I). Chinese Journal of Engineering Mathematics, 2006, 23(2):191–215 (in Chinese with English abstract). [doi: 10.3969/j.issn.1005-3085.2006.02.001]
- [3] Pei DW. Fuzzy Logic Theory and Its Application Based on t -Norm. Beijing: Science Press, 2013 (in Chinese).
- [4] Wang GJ, Liu BC. The theory of relative Γ -tautology degree of formulas in four propositional logics. Chinese Journal of Engineering Mathematics, 2007, 24(4):598–610 (in Chinese with English abstract). [doi: 10.3969/j.issn.1005-3085.2007.04.004]
- [5] Hui XJ. Truth degree decreasing theorem of generalized effective inference in fuzzy logic system. Fuzzy Systems and Mathematics, 2013, 27(4):36–41 (in Chinese with English abstract). [doi: 10.3969/j.issn.1001-7402.2013.04.007]
- [6] Zhou JR, Wu HB. An equivalent definition and some properties of truth degrees in Łukasiewicz propositions logic system. Chinese Journal of Engineering Mathematics, 2013, 30(4):580–590 (in Chinese with English abstract). [doi: 10.3969/j.issn.1005-3085.2013.04.011]
- [7] Hui XJ, Wang GJ. Randomization of classical inference patterns and its application. Science in China (Series E), 2007, 37(6):801–812 (in Chinese with English abstract). [doi: 10.1007/s11432-007-0067-9]
- [8] Hui XJ, Wang GJ. Randomization of classical inference patterns and its application (II). Fuzzy Systems and Mathematics, 2008, 22(3):21–26 (in Chinese with English abstract).
- [9] Hui XJ. Randomization of 3-valued propositional logic system. Acta Mathematicae Applicatae Sinica, 2009, 32(1):19–27 (in Chinese with English abstract). [doi: 10.3321/j.issn:0254-3079.2009.01.003]
- [10] Wang GJ, Hui XJ. Generalization of fundamental theorem of probability logic. Acta Electronica Sinica, 2007, 35(7):1333–1340 (in Chinese with English abstract). [doi: 10.3321/j.issn:0372-2112.2007.07.021]
- [11] Wu HB. The theory of Γ -truth degrees of formulas and limit theorem in Łukasiewicz propositional logic. Science in China: Information Science, 2014, 44(12):1542–1559 (in Chinese with English abstract). [doi: 10.1360/N112013-00171]
- [12] Wu HB, Zhou JR. The Γ -truth degree of formulas in propositional logic system $R_0\bar{L}_{3n+1}$ with properties. Chinese Journal of

- Computers, 2015,38(8):1672–1679 (in Chinese with English abstract). [doi: 10.11897/SP.J.1016.2015.01672]
- [13] Zhou HJ. Theory of Borel probability truth degrees of propositions in Łukasiewicz propositional logics and a limit theorem. Ruan Jian Xue Bao/Journal of Software, 2012,23(9):2235–2247 (in Chinese with English abstract). <http://www.jos.org.cn/1000-9825/4179.htm> [doi: 10.3724/SP.J.1001.2012.04179]
- [14] She YH, He XL. Borel probabilistic rough truth degree of formulas in rough logic. Ruan Jian Xue Bao/Journal of Software, 2014,25(5):970–983 (in Chinese with English abstract). <http://www.jos.org.cn/1000-9825/4441.htm> [doi: 10.13328/j.cnki.jos.004441]
- [15] Francesc E, Lluís G, Petr H, Mirko N. Residuated fuzzy logics with an involutive negation. Archive for Mathematical Logic, 2000, 39(2):103–124. [doi: 10.1007/s001530050006]
- [16] Flaminio T, Marchioni E. T -Norm based logics with an independent an involutive negation. Fuzzy Sets & Systems, 2006,157(24): 3125–3144. [doi: 10.1016/j.fss.2006.06.016]
- [17] Cintula P, Klement E P, Mesiar R, Navara M. Fuzzy logics with an additional involutive negation. Fuzzy Sets & Systems, 2010, 161(3):390–411. [doi: 10.1016/j.fss.2009.09.003]
- [18] Hui XJ. Quantified axiomatic extension systems of SBL_~ based on truth value. Science in China: Information Science, 2014, 44(7):900–911 (in Chinese with English abstract). [doi: 10.1360/N112013-00166]

附中文参考文献:

- [2] 王国俊.量计逻辑学(I).工程数学学报,2006,23(2):191–215. [doi: 10.3969/j.issn.1005-3085.2006.02.001]
- [3] 裴道武.基于三角模的模糊逻辑理论及其应用.北京:科学出版社,2013.
- [4] 王国俊,刘保翠.四种命题逻辑中公式的相对 Γ -重真度理论.工程数学学报,2007,24(4):598–610. [doi: 10.3969/j.issn.1005-3085.2007.04.004]
- [5] 惠小静.模糊逻辑系统中广义有效推理的真度递减定理.模糊系统与数学,2013,27(4):36–41. [doi: 10.3969/j.issn.1001-7402.2013.04.007]
- [6] 周建仁,吴洪博.Łukasiewicz 命题逻辑系统中真度的等价定义及相关性质.工程数学学报,2013,30(4):580–590. [doi: 10.3969/j.issn.1005-3085.2013.04.011]
- [7] 惠小静,王国俊.经典推理模式的随机化研究及其应用.中国科学:E辑,2007,37(6):801–812. [doi: 10.1007/s11432-007-0067-9]
- [8] 惠小静,王国俊.经典推理模式的随机化研究及其应用(II).模糊系统与数学,2008,22(3):21–26.
- [9] 惠小静.三值 R_0 命题逻辑系统的随机化.应用数学学报,2009,32(1):19–27. [doi: 10.3321/j.issn:0254-3079.2009.01.003]
- [10] 王国俊,惠小静.概率逻辑学基本定理的推广.电子学报,2007,35(7):1333–1340. [doi: 10.3321/j.issn:0372-2112.2007.07.021]
- [11] 吴洪博.Łukasiewicz 命题逻辑中公式的 Γ -真度理论和极限定理.中国科学:信息科学,2014,44(12):1542–1559. [doi: 10.1360/N112013-00171]
- [12] 吴洪博,周建仁.命题逻辑系统 R_0L_{3n+1} 中公式的 Γ -真度及性质.计算机学报,2015,38(8):1672–1679. [doi: 10.11897/SP.J.1016.2015.01672]
- [13] 周红军.Łukasiewicz 命题逻辑中命题的 Borel 概率真度理论和极限定理.软件学报,2012,23(9):2235–2247. <http://www.jos.org.cn/1000-9825/4179.htm> [doi: 10.3724/SP.J.1001.2012.04179]
- [14] 折延宏,贺晓丽.粗糙逻辑中公式的 Borel 型概率粗糙真度.软件学报,2014,25(5):970–983. <http://www.jos.org.cn/1000-9825/4441.htm> [doi: 10.13328/j.cnki.jos.004441]
- [18] 惠小静.基于真值的 SBL_~ 公理化扩张系统的计量化.中国科学:信息科学,2014,44(7):900–911. [doi: 10.1360/N112013-00166]



高晓莉(1992 -),女,陕西延安人,硕士,主要研究领域为数理逻辑,不确定性推理.



朱乃调(1990 -),女,硕士,主要研究领域为数理逻辑,不确定性推理.



惠小静(1973 -),女,博士,教授,CCF 专业会员,主要研究领域为不确定性推理.