

从结果中可以看到:在神经网络中附加传统特征选择方法,可以优化神经网络在文本分类任务上的效果,其中,附加经过 Sigmoid 归一化的 Pearson 和 Chi2 评价值的模型效果显著优于无附加神经网络的效果.这表明了本文提出的增强特征选择的神经网络模型的有效性.

5 结论与展望

本文从理解神经网络特征选择的角度出发,提出了基于感受野的特征贡献度分析方法来显式地挖掘神经网络模型对不同特征的重要性判断和选择.进而通过比较传统特征选择方法和神经网络对特征的选择能力,我们发现:以卡方检验、皮尔逊相关系数为代表的传统特征选择方法在提取高重要性特征、过滤噪声特征方面具有优势,尤其当样本量并非海量时.因此,可以在神经网络中结合传统特征选择方法来提高神经网络的特征选择能力和分类效果.据此,本文设计了增强特征选择的神经网络.与通常将特征选择放于模型训练之前的做法不同,该模型将特征选择直接加入网络训练过程中,使得网络可以更有效地进行特征选择.在社交媒体用户建模数据集上的实验结果验证了模型的有效性.本文提出的分析方法和改进模型为理解神经网络特征选择能力、改进神经网络在高维特征问题上的效果提供了一种思路.

神经网络的黑箱特性使我们对其工作原理知之甚少,本文通过对网络权重的分析,尝试打开神经网络的黑箱,从特征选择的角度理解和评价神经网络的特点和不足.未来我们会进一步从理论的角度对本文的分析方法和结论进行验证.近年来,许多研究者尝试将传统机器学习的成果加入神经网络中.我们初步尝试了将传统特征评价方法作为先验知识来辅助神经网络的学习.未来我们会进一步从实验和理论的角度探究不同的结合方法来优化神经网络的效果.

References:

- [1] Szegedy C, Liu W, Jia YQ, Sermanet P, Reed S, Anguelov D, Erhan D, Vanhoucke V, Rabinovich, A. Going deeper with convolutions. In: Proc. of the IEEE Conf. on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition. IEEE Computer Society, 2014. 1–9. [doi: 10.1109/CVPR.2015.7298594]
- [2] He KM, Zhang XY, Ren SQ, Sun J. Deep residual learning for image recognition. In: Proc. of the IEEE Conf. on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition. 2016. 770–778. [doi: 10.1109/CVPR.2016.90]
- [3] Graves A. Long short-term memory. In: Proc. of the Supervised Sequence Labelling with Recurrent Neural Networks. Berlin, Heidelberg: Springer-Verlag, 2012. 1735–1780. [doi: 10.1007/978-3-642-24797-2_4]
- [4] Kalchbrenner N, Grefenstette E, Blunsom P. A convolutional neural network for modelling sentences. arXiv preprint arXiv:1404.2188, 2014. [doi: 10.3115/v1/P14-1062]
- [5] Santos CND, Gattit M. Deep convolutional neural networks for sentiment analysis of short texts. In: Proc. of the Int'l Conf. on Computational Linguistics. 2014. 69–78.
- [6] Mikolov T, Karafiát M, Burget L, Cernocký J, Khudanpur S. Recurrent neural network based language model. In: Proc. of the 11th Annual Conf. of the Int'l Speech Communication Association (INTERSPEECH 2010). 2010.
- [7] Liu SJ, Yang N, Li M, Zhou M. A recursive recurrent neural network for statistical machine translation. In: Proc. of the Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics. 2014. 1491–1500. [doi: 10.3115/v1/P14-1140]
- [8] Cho K, Merriënboer BV, Gulcehre C, Bahdanau D, Bougares F, Schwenk H, Bengio Y. Learning phrase representations using RNN encoder-decoder for statistical machine translation. arXiv preprint arXiv:1406.1078, 2014.
- [9] Sutskever I, Martens J, Hinton GE. Generating text with recurrent neural networks. In: Proc. of the Int'l Conf. on Machine Learning (ICML 2011). 2011. 1017–1024.
- [10] Shahshahani BM, Landgrebe DA. The effect of unlabeled samples in reducing the small sample size problem and mitigating the Hughes phenomenon. IEEE Trans. on Geoscience and Remote Sensing, 1994,32(5):1087–1095. [doi: 10.1109/36.312897]
- [11] Tadjudin S, Landgrebe DA. Covariance estimation with limited training samples. IEEE Trans. on Geoscience and Remote Sensing, 1999,37(4):2113–2118. [doi: 10.1109/36.774728]
- [12] Lu S, Oki K, Shimizu Y, Omasa K. Comparison between several feature extraction/classification methods for mapping complicated agricultural land use patches using airborne hyperspectral data. Int'l Journal of Remote Sensing, 2007,28(5):963–984. [doi: 10.1080/01431160600771561]
- [13] Guyon I, Elisseeff A. An introduction to variable and feature selection. Journal of Machine Learning Research, 2003,3:1157–1182.

- [14] Zeiler MD, Fergus R. Visualizing and understanding convolutional networks. In: Proc. of the European Conf. on Computer Vision. Springer-Verlag, 2014. 818–833. [doi: 10.1007/978-3-319-10590-1_53]
- [15] Mares MA, Wang S, Guo Y. Combining multiple feature selection methods and deep learning for high-dimensional data. Trans. on Machine Learning and Data Mining, 2016,9:27–45.
- [16] Poria S, Cambria E, Gelbukh AF. Deep convolutional neural network textual features and multiple kernel learning for utterance-level multimodal sentiment analysis. In: Proc. of the EMNLP. 2015. 2539–2544. [doi: 10.18653/v1/D15-1303]
- [17] Krizhevsky A, Sutskever I, Hinton GE. Imagenet classification with deep convolutional neural networks. In: Proc. of the Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems. 2012. 1097–1105.
- [18] Engelbrecht AP, Cloete I, Zurada JM. Determining the significance of input parameters using sensitivity analysis. In: Proc. of the Int'l Workshop on Artificial Neural Networks. Berlin, Heidelberg: Springer-Verlag, 1995. 382–388. [doi: 10.1007/3-540-59497-3_199]
- [19] Alain G, Bengio Y. Understanding intermediate layers using linear classifier probes. arXiv preprint arXiv:1610.01644, 2016.
- [20] Zeiler MD, Taylor GW, Fergus R. Adaptive deconvolutional networks for mid and high level feature learning. In: Proc. of the Int'l Conf. on Computer Vision. IEEE, 2011. 2018–2025. [doi: 10.1109/ICCV.2011.6126474]
- [21] Samek W, Binder A, Montavon G, Lapuschkin S, Müller KR. Evaluating the visualization of what a deep neural network has learned. IEEE Trans. on Neural Networks and Learning Systems, 2016. [doi: 10.1109/TNNLS.2016.2599820]
- [22] Baehrens D, Schroeter T, Harmeling S, Kawanabe M, Hansen K, Mäzler KR. How to explain individual classification decisions. Journal of Machine Learning Research, 2010,11:1803–1831.
- [23] Dimopoulos Y, Bourret P, Lek S. Use of some sensitivity criteria for choosing networks with good generalization ability. Neural Processing Letters, 1995,2(6):1–4. [doi: 10.1007/BF02309007]
- [24] Simonyan K, Vedaldi A, Zisserman A. Deep inside convolutional networks: Visualising image classification models and saliency maps. arXiv Preprint arXiv:1312.6034, 2013.
- [25] Denil M, Demiraj A, de Freitas N. Extraction of salient sentences from labelled documents. arXiv preprint arXiv:1412.6815, 2014.
- [26] Montavon G, Lapuschkin S, Binder A, Samek W, Müller KR. Explaining nonlinear classification decisions with deep taylor decomposition. Pattern Recognition, 2017,65:211–222. [doi: 10.1016/j.patcog.2016.11.008]
- [27] Li JW, Chen XL, Hovy E, Jurafsky D. Visualizing and understanding neural models in NLP. arXiv preprint arXiv:1506.01066, 2015.
- [28] Samek W, Binder A, Montavon G, Lapuschkin S, Müller KR. Evaluating the visualization of what a deep neural network has learned. IEEE Trans. on Neural Networks and Learning Systems, 2016. [doi: 10.1109/TNNLS.2016.2599820]
- [29] Seiler MC, Seiler F. Numerical recipes in C: The art of scientific computing. Risk Analysis, 1989,9(3):415–416.
- [30] Liu H, Setiono R. Chi2: Feature selection and discretization of numeric attributes. In: Proc. of the 7th IEEE Int'l Conf. on Tools with Artificial Intelligence. 1995. 388–391.
- [31] Yang Y, Pedersen JO. A comparative study on feature selection in text categorization. In: Proc. of the 14th Int'l Conf. on Machine Learning. Morgan Kaufmann Publishers Inc., 1998. 412–420.
- [32] Zhang Y, Wallace B. A sensitivity analysis of (and practitioners' guide to) convolutional neural networks for sentence classification. arXiv preprint arXiv:1510.03820, 2015.
- [33] Mikolov T, Chen K, Corrado G, Dean J. Efficient estimation of word representations in vector space. arXiv preprint arXiv:1301.3781, 2013.



卢泓宇(1994 -),男,河南南阳人,硕士生,CCF 学生会会员,主要研究领域为推荐系统,机器学习应用.



刘奕群(1981 -),男,博士,副教授,博士生导师,CCF 高级会员,主要研究领域为网络搜索技术,信息检索,用户行为分析.



张敏(1977 -),女,博士,副教授,博士生导师,CCF 高级会员,主要研究领域为信息检索与推荐,用户行为分析与建模,机器学习应用,数据挖掘.



马少平(1961 -),男,博士,教授,博士生导师,主要研究领域为智能信息处理,信息检索,用户行为分析.